

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

LUCIANO F. PAONE,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-7314

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Luciano F. Paone (“Paone”) for his complaint against Intel Corporation (“Intel”), hereby demands a jury trial and alleges as follows:

**The Parties**

1. Plaintiff Paone is an individual who resides at 40 Sunset Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Intel is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business at 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA 95054. Intel may be served with process in New York through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 111 Eighth Ave., New York, New York, 10011.

3. Intel makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale in the United States, and/or imports into the United States, computer products and technology, including for example Intel products that include Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (“TKIP”) technology.

**Nature of the Action**

4. This is a civil action for infringement of United States Patent No. 6,259,789. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

**Jurisdiction and Venue**

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.*

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Intel generally because Intel has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the state of New York. Personal jurisdiction also exists specifically over Intel because it, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, imports, advertises, makes available, and/or markets products and services within the state of New York that infringe the patent-in-suit, as described more particularly below.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b), because Intel has committed acts of infringement in this district and because Intel is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

**The Patent-In-Suit**

8. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

9. United States Patent No. 6,259,789 ("the '789 Patent"), entitled "Computer Implemented Secret Object Key Block Cipher Encryption and Digital Signature Device and Method," was duly and legally issued to Paone by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 10, 2001. A copy of the '789 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

10. Three requests for *ex parte* reexamination of the '789 Patent were filed on May 16, 2008, June 29, 2008, and July 27, 2009, respectively. On December 8, 2009, the United States Patent Office duly and legally issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the first reexamination request confirming the patentability of original claims 2, 15, 24, 25, 33, 34 and amended claims 4-6, 8, 13, 14, 26 and 35. On March 9, 2010 the United States Patent Office

duly and legally issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the second reexamination request confirming the patentability of claims 17 and 26. On June 8, 2010 the United States Patent Office duly and legally issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the third reexamination request confirming the patentability of claims 2, 24-26, 33, and 34.

11. Paone is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '789 Patent, including the right to recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

12. The '789 Patent is valid and enforceable.

13. To date, Intel has not taken a license to the '789 Patent.

**COUNT I: Infringement of United States Patent No. 6,259,789**

14. Paragraphs 1 through 13 are incorporated by reference as if stated fully herein.

15. Intel has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '789 Patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and/or (c) based on its making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or importing into this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, Intel products that include TKIP technology, including without limitation, Intel Centrino Advanced-N + WiMax 6250, Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200, Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6205, Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6205 For Desktop, Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6230, Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6235, Intel Centrino Ultimate-N 6300, Intel Centrino Ultimate-N 6300 and Intel, Centrino Advanced-N 6200 products, Intel Centrino Wireless-N + WiMax 6150, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 100, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 1030, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 105, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 130, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 2200 For Desktop, Intel Centrino Wireless-N 2230, Intel Dual Band Wireless-AC 3160, Intel Dual Band Wireless-AC 7260, Intel Dual Band Wireless-AC 7260 for Desktop, Intel PRO/Wireless 2200BG Network, Intel PRO/Wireless 2915ABG Network Connection, Intel PRO/Wireless 3945ABG Network Connection, Intel WiFi

Link 5300 and Intel WiFi Link 5100 products, Intel WiMAX/WiFi Link 5350 and Intel WiMAX/WiFi Link 5150 products, Intel Wireless WiFi Link 4965AGN, and Intel Wireless-N 7260. These and any and all other Intel products that include and/or support TKIP technology are referred to herein as “Accused Products.”

16. Intel is aware of the ’789 Patent and Paone’s infringement allegations. For example, by a letter dated December 6, 2013, Paone notified Intel of the ’789 Patent and Intel’s infringement of that patent, including a detailed claim chart setting forth specifically how Intel’s products including TKIP technology meet the limitations of certain claims of the ’789 Patent. A copy of the December 6, 2013, letter and accompanying claim chart are attached hereto as Exhibits B and C respectively.

17. After Intel received the December 6, 2013, letter, Paone and Intel have continued to discuss the ’789 Patent, including through written correspondence and several in-person meetings. Through these discussions, Paone attempted to negotiate license terms with Intel. Despite Paone’s good faith efforts to negotiate a business solution, Intel has failed to take a license to the ’789 Patent and continues to use Paone’s intellectual property without authorization.

18. Upon information and belief, Intel directly infringes the ’789 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, infringing methods, products, and/or systems.

19. Intel induces infringement of the ’789 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by others in the United States. The direct infringement occurs by activities performed by Intel, its

contractors and employees, and/or end users of the Accused Products, in their intended use, including in wireless networks that utilize TKIP.

20. Intel specifically intends third parties to infringe the '789 Patent, or alternatively, has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. For example, Intel induces infringement by continuing to disseminate products with the infringing TKIP functionality and by its affirmative actions of at least making its website available to customers, providing directions to instruct and teach users to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner, and disseminating promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, and technical information related to the Accused Products. Examples of such materials may be found at:

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/CS-008165.htm>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/4965agn/sb/CS-025643.htm>;

<http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/3945abgug.pdf>;

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2200bg/sb/2915abg\\_ug.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2200bg/sb/2915abg_ug.pdf);

<http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2200bg/2200BGUG.PDF>;

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/4965agn\\_genericug.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/4965agn_genericug.pdf);

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/cs-025795.htm>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/cs-025806.htm>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/CS-030505.htm>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/CS-032784.htm>;

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel\\_prosetwireless\\_software\\_v13\\_user\\_guide.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel_prosetwireless_software_v13_user_guide.pdf);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel\\_prosetwireless\\_software\\_v120\\_xp\\_user](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel_prosetwireless_software_v120_xp_user)

guide.pdf;

<http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/1000/sb/intelwifilink1000productbrief1.pdf>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/motherboards/desktop/sb/CS-032116.htm>;

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/CS-030450.htm>;

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel\\_centrino\\_ultimaten\\_6300\\_product\\_brief.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel_centrino_ultimaten_6300_product_brief.pdf);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel\\_centrino\\_advancedn\\_6200\\_product\\_brief.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/intel_centrino_advancedn_6200_product_brief.pdf);

[http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15190/eng/D915PBL\\_TechProdSpec.pdf](http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15190/eng/D915PBL_TechProdSpec.pdf);

[http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15186/eng/D915PGN\\_D915PSY\\_TechProdSpec.pdf](http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15186/eng/D915PGN_D915PSY_TechProdSpec.pdf);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/6250/sb/intel\\_centrino\\_advancedn\\_wimax\\_6250\\_product\\_brief1.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/6250/sb/intel_centrino_advancedn_wimax_6250_product_brief1.pdf);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2915abg/sb/303330002us\\_channel.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2915abg/sb/303330002us_channel.pdf);

[http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15192/eng/D915GUX\\_TechProdSpec.pdf](http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15192/eng/D915GUX_TechProdSpec.pdf);

[http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15188/eng/D915PCY\\_D915PCM\\_TechProdSpec.pdf](http://downloadmirror.intel.com/15188/eng/D915PCY_D915PCM_TechProdSpec.pdf);

<http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/sb/CS-025744.htm>;

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350\\_5150/sb/intelrprosetwirelesswimaxuserguide.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350_5150/sb/intelrprosetwirelesswimaxuserguide.pdf);

[http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350\\_5150/sb/CS-029594.htm?wapkw=tkip](http://www.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350_5150/sb/CS-029594.htm?wapkw=tkip);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350\\_5150/sb/intelwimaxwifi\\_link\\_5150\\_productbrief\\_abgsku\\_final.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350_5150/sb/intelwimaxwifi_link_5150_productbrief_abgsku_final.pdf);

[http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350\\_5150/sb/21141\\_wimaxwifi\\_link\\_5350\\_final.pdf](http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wmax/5350_5150/sb/21141_wimaxwifi_link_5350_final.pdf); and

<http://download.intel.com/support/wireless/wlan/pro2200bg/sb/2200bgprodbrief.pdf>.

21. Intel contributes to the infringement of the '789 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

The direct infringement occurs by activities performed by Intel, its contractors and employees, and/or end users of the Accused Products, in their intended use, including in wireless networks that utilize TKIP.

22. The TKIP technology in the Accused Products is a material part of the inventions of the '789 Patent. Intel has been, and is currently, aware of the '789 Patent and knows the TKIP technology in the Accused Products to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the'789 Patent. The TKIP technology in the Accused Products is not a staple article or commodity of commerce, nor is it suitable for any substantial non-infringing use.

23. Upon information and belief, Intel's infringement of the '789 Patent was, and continues to be, willful. Intel has known about the'789 Patent and the details of its infringement at least since on or about December 6, 2013, as discussed above in Paragraphs 16 and 17. Despite Intel's knowledge of and notice of the '789 Patent and its infringement, Intel has continued to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import the Accused Products, and has continued to create and disseminate promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, instructions, and/or technical information related to the infringing aspects of the Accused Products. Intel lacks a justifiable belief that it does not infringe the '789 Patent, or that the '789 Patent is invalid. Intel has also acted with objective recklessness in its infringing activity.

24. Intel's acts of infringement have caused, and continue to cause, damage to Paone. Under 35 U.S.C. § 284, Paone is entitled to recover from Intel the damages sustained by Paone as a result of Intel's infringement of the '789 Patent.

25. Intel's conduct in infringing the '789 Patent renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**Prayer for Relief**

**WHEREFORE**, Paone respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Intel as follows:

- A. That Intel has infringed the '789 Patent;
- B. That Intel's infringement of the '789 Patent is willful;
- C. That Paone be awarded all damages adequate to compensate him for Intel's infringement of the '789 Patent in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary to adequately compensate Paone for the infringement, an accounting, and that such damages be trebled and awarded to Paone with prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- D. That this case be declared an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Paone be awarded the attorney fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with this action; and
- E. That Paone be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

Paone hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

Dated: December 16, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean T. Doyle

Jon T. Hohenthaler (JH 5708)  
Andrew G. Heinz (AH 2704)  
Sean T. Doyle (SD 7678)  
DESMARAIS LLP  
230 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10169  
Telephone: (212) 351-3400  
Facsimile: (212) 351-3401

Counsel for Plaintiff LUCIANO F. PAONE